

### §3. Renormalization of Gauge Theories

Last time: symmetry of eff. action

$$0 = \int d^4 y \langle F^n(y) \rangle_{Jx} \frac{\delta \Gamma[x]}{\delta x^n(y)}$$

where  $F^n(y)$  is generator of symmetry of original action:

$$X^n(y) \mapsto X^n(y) + \varepsilon F^n[y; X]$$

Now we apply this to BRST invariance of action  $I[X]$  for non-abelian gauge theories:

$$\int d^4 x \langle \Delta^n(x) \rangle_{Jx} \frac{\delta I[X]}{\delta X^n(x)} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where the change in  $X^n(x)$  under a BRST transformation with infinitesimal fermionic parameter  $\theta$  is

$$\delta_\theta X^n(x) = \theta \Delta^n(x)$$

and  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the vacuum expectation value in the presence of a current  $Jx$ .

→ since BRST trf. is non-linear, eq.

(1) does not tell us that eff. action is invariant under it.

→ introduce modified effective action

$$\Gamma[\chi, K] \equiv W[\mathcal{J}_{\chi, K}, K] - \int d^4x \chi^n(x) \mathcal{J}_{\chi, K n}(x)$$

where  $W$  is here calculated with gauge-fixed action  $I + \int d^4x \Delta^n K_n$ :

$$e^{iW[\mathcal{J}, K]} \equiv \int \left[ \prod_{n, i, x} dx^n(x) \right] \exp\left(iI + i \int d^4x \Delta^n K_n + i \int d^4x \chi^n \mathcal{J}_n\right)$$

and  $\mathcal{J}_{\chi, K}$  is the current satisfying:

$$\left. \frac{\delta_R W[\mathcal{J}, K]}{\delta \mathcal{J}_n(x)} \right|_{\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\chi, K}} \equiv \chi^n(x). \quad (2)$$

$K_n$  here have the same fermionic/bosonic statistics as  $\Delta^n$ , which is opposite to  $\chi^n$ .

→ since  $\Delta^n(x)$  are BRST-invariant (BRST trf. is nilpotent), we get

$$\int d^4x \left\langle \Delta^n(x) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{J}, K} \frac{\delta_L \Gamma[\chi, K]}{\delta \chi^n(x)} = 0,$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle_{\mathcal{J}, K}$  denotes a vacuum expectation value in the presence of the current  $\mathcal{J}$  and the external fields  $K$ :

$$\langle O[\chi] \rangle_{\mathcal{J}, K} = \frac{\int \left[ \prod_{n, i, x} dx^n(x) \right] O(\chi) \exp\left(iI + i \int d^4x \Delta^n K_n + i \int d^4x \chi^n \mathcal{J}_n\right)}{\int \left[ \prod_{n, i, x} dx^n(x) \right] \exp\left(iI + i \int d^4x \Delta^n K_n + i \int d^4x \chi^n \mathcal{J}_n\right)} \quad (3)$$

We can reexpress  $\langle \Delta^a(x) \rangle_{J,K}$  as follows:

$$\frac{\delta_R \Gamma[\chi, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} = \frac{\delta_R W[J, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} \Big|_{J=J_{\chi, K}} + \int d^4 y \frac{\delta_R W[J, K]}{\delta J_m(y)} \Big|_{J=J_{\chi, K}} \frac{\delta J_{\chi, K m}(y)}{\delta K_n(x)} - \int d^4 y \chi^m(y) \frac{\delta_R J_{\chi, K m}(y)}{\delta K_n(x)}$$

Using equation (2) and (3), we get

$$\frac{\delta_R \Gamma[\chi, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} = \frac{\delta_R W[J, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} \Big|_{J=J_{\chi, K}} = \langle \Delta^a(x) \rangle_{J, K}$$

Now equation (1) becomes

$$\int d^4 x \frac{\delta_R \Gamma[\chi, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} \frac{\delta_L \Gamma[\chi, K]}{\delta \chi^a(x)} = 0$$

"Zinn-Justin equation"

As exchange of fields and anti-fields leads to a minus sign, for example  $\frac{\delta_L(w_1 w_2)}{\delta w_1} = - \frac{\delta_R(w_1 w_2)}{\delta w_1}$  for  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  fermionic, this can be rewritten as

$$(F, G) = 0, \text{ where} \quad (4)$$

$$(F, G) = \int d^4 x \frac{\delta_R F[\chi, K]}{\delta \chi^a(x)} \frac{\delta_L G[\chi, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} - \int d^4 x \frac{\delta_R F[\chi, K]}{\delta K_n(x)} \frac{\delta_L G[\chi, K]}{\delta \chi^a(x)}$$

Question: What is the form of the effective action  $\Gamma[x, K] \Big|_{K=0}$  ?

$\Gamma[x, K]$  is complicated functional of both  $x$  and  $K$

→ write the action  $S[x, K] \equiv I[x] + \int d^4x \Delta^4 K_n$

$$\text{as } S[x, K] = S_R[x, K] + S_{\infty}[x, K]$$

↑  
renormalized  
action

↑  
contains counterterms  
to cancel infinities  
from loop graphs

→ both  $S_R$  and  $S_{\infty}$  must have symmetries of original action

→ Do the infinite parts of  $\Gamma$  share the same symmetries ?

To answer this question, we expand  $\Gamma$  in loop order:

$$\Gamma[x, K] = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_N[x, K].$$

→ eq. (4) becomes at  $N$  loop order

$$\sum_{N'=0}^N (\Gamma_{N'}, \Gamma_{N-N'}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

Leading term of (5) is  $\Gamma_0[x, K] = S_R[x, K] \rightarrow \text{finite}$

Proceed now recursively:

Suppose that, for all  $M \leq N-1$ , all infinities for  $M$ -loop graphs have been cancelled by counterterms in  $S_{\infty}$ .

→ infinities can appear only in the  $N'=0$  and  $N'=N$  terms:

$$(S_R, \Gamma_{N, \infty}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

↑  
infinite part of  $\Gamma_N$

- $\Gamma_{N, \infty}[X, K]$  can only be a sum of products of fields and their derivatives  
→ total dimensionality of these products must be 4
- $\Gamma_{N, \infty}[X, K]$  is invariant under all "linearly" realized symmetry trfs. of  $\mathbb{I}[X]$  (see previous lecture)

→ want to know the dimensionality of fields  $K_n$ .

$$\text{if } \dim(X^n) = d_n \rightarrow \dim(\Delta^n) = d_n + 1$$

→ from  $\dim\left(\int d^4x K_n \Delta^n\right) = 0$  we get  
 $\dim(K_n) = 3 - d_n$

The fields  $A^{\alpha\mu}$ ,  $\omega^\alpha$ , and  $\omega^{\alpha*}$  all have dimensionalities  $+1 \rightarrow \dim(K_n) = +2$

Spin  $1/2$  matter fields  $\psi_e$  have  $\dim 3/2 \rightarrow \dim(K_n) = 3/2$

Thus  $\Gamma_{N,\infty}[x, K]$  is at most quadratic in  $K_n$

Let us now come to ghost numbers:

If  $\chi^n$  has ghost number  $\gamma_n + 1$   
 $\rightarrow \text{ghost}(K_n) = -\gamma_n - 1$

We have:

- $\text{ghost}(A^{\alpha,\mu}) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ghost}(K_A) = -1$
- $\text{ghost}(\psi^e) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ghost}(K_\psi) = -1$
- $\text{ghost}(\omega^\alpha) = +1 \Rightarrow \text{ghost}(K_\omega) = -2$
- $\text{ghost}(\omega^{\alpha*}) = -1 \Rightarrow \text{ghost}(K_{\omega^*}) = 0$

Since  $\text{ghost}(\Gamma_{N,\infty}[x, K]) = 0$ , we have only at most linear terms in  $K_n$ .

(Also linear in  $K_2^*$ :  $\frac{\delta \Gamma_{N,\infty}[x, K]}{\delta K_2^*} = \langle \Delta^{\alpha*} \rangle_{\psi, K} = -h^\alpha$

since  $\Delta^{\alpha*} = -h^\alpha$  and independent of all  $K_n$ )

$$\rightarrow \Gamma_{N,\infty}[x, K] = \Gamma_{N,\infty}[x, 0] + \int d^4x \mathcal{D}_N[x; x] K_n(x)$$

Recall that

$$S_R[x, k] = S_R[x] + \int d^4x \Delta^n[x; x] K_n(x)$$

Thus equation (6) becomes

$$(7) \int d^4x \left[ \Delta^n[x; x] \frac{\delta_L \Gamma_{N, \infty}[x, 0]}{\delta \chi^n(x)} + \mathcal{D}_N^n[x; x] \frac{\delta_L S_R[x]}{\delta \chi^n(x)} \right] = 0,$$

and

$$(8) \int d^4x \left[ \Delta^n(x; x) \frac{\delta_L \mathcal{D}_N^n(x; y)}{\delta \chi^n(x)} + \mathcal{D}_N^n(x; x) \frac{\delta_L \Delta^n(x; y)}{\delta \chi^n(x)} \right] = 0$$

These equations can be put into a nice form by defining

$$\Gamma_N^{(\varepsilon)}[X] \equiv S_R[X] + \varepsilon \Gamma_{N, \infty}[x, 0],$$

$$\text{and } \Delta_N^{(\varepsilon)n}(x) \equiv \Delta^n(x) + \varepsilon \mathcal{D}_N^n(x),$$

with  $\varepsilon$  infinitesimal.

Then eq. (7) just says that  $\Gamma_N^{(\varepsilon)}[X]$  is invariant under the t.f.

$$\chi^n(x) \mapsto \chi^n(x) + \theta \Delta_N^{(\varepsilon)n}(x), \quad (*)$$

and eq. (8) implies that (\*) is "nilpotent" (using invariance under original BRST t.f.)